

Note on "Hydrodynamic Phase Locking of Swimming Microorganisms"

Guanghua Zhu

QingHai-Lake Centre, 2 Street Hua-Yuan South Xining 810000, China

Abstract

We make remarks on Elfring and Lauga's [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 088101 (2009)] paper. The energy dissipation or viscous dissipation plays an important role in the phase-locked state.

PACS numbers: 47.63.Gd, 47.15.G-, 47.63.mf, 87.17.Jj

Recently "What is still not understood is what constitutes the essential physical ingredients to obtain an evolution in time to a phase-locked configuration between cells swimming close to each other." was raised by Elfring and Lauga [1]. Therein, Elfring and Lauga considered a simplified model of nearby swimming cells with a prescribed waveform. They showed that stable phase locking can be obtained purely passively, due to hydrodynamic interactions. They also claimed that "The phase-locked state to which the cells evolve is dictated *solely by the geometry of the flagellar waveforms of the cells* (specifically, their front-back asymmetry), and *not by considerations of energy dissipation*."

In fact, by following previous approach [2], Elfring and Lauga considered a model of coswimming cells consisting of two infinite parallel 2D sheets propagating lateral waves of transverse oscillations with prescribed wave number k , frequency ω , and wave speed $c = \omega/k$, each sheet is thereby propelled in the direction opposite to the wave. The shape of the waveform is presumed to be the same for both swimmers, and is described by an arbitrary function a [1].

As the present author checked, there are some doubtful expressions in [1] : (1) The boundary conditions for Eq. (1) should be changed to $u(y_1) = 1$, $u(y_2) = 1 - U_\Delta$ considering almost the same mathematical treatment in [3] (Eq. (3) therein) together with Fig. 1 of [1]; (2) Eq. (1) in [1] should be read as $u = [(y - y_1)(y - y_2)dp/dx]/2 - U_\Delta(y - y_1)/(y_2 - y_1) + 1$ following the same reasoning (cf. Eq. (3) in [3]); (3) Eq. (3) in [1] should be read as $dp/dx = 12(-I_2/(I_3h^3) + 1/h^2)$ with $Q = I_2/I_3$ where I_j follows the same definition in [1]. (4) Other subsequent derivations related to Eq. (1) in [1] should be carefully examined with the same thinking.

Meanwhile as Elfring and Lauga adopted two infinite, parallel, two-dimensional waving sheets which might be flexible and undergo large amplitude of motions, thus $y_2 - y_1 > 0$ or $a(x + \phi) - a(x) + 1 > 0$ should be always satisfied! Otherwise another kind of wave breaking could occur [4]! In fact this checking also appears at the denominator of the (right-hand-side) integrand of Λ^{-1} of Eq. (12) in [1]! $a(x + \phi) - a(x) + 1 = 0$ is not allowed! Note that Eq. (12) is related to the solving Eq. (11) in [1] and the presentation for Fig. 3 of [1].

The last remark is about the role of energy dissipation or viscous dissipation which is underestimated in [1]. Considering the dimensionless definition of the fluid force (f) and energy dissipation rate per unit width ($\dot{E}$) [1], where the viscosity (μ) occurs (μ also appears in the dimensionless definition of the pressure (p)), we have, near the in-phase configuration

($\phi_0 = 0$), the force $|f_{x_\theta}| \sim \phi' \delta^4$ for small-amplitudes ($\delta \ll 1$) where $\theta = 0, \pi$ (the approximate $|\dot{E}_\theta|$ resembles the approximate $|f_{x_\theta}|$ [1]). Only the geometry of the wave occurs in the approximate f and $\dot{E}$, however, we should recall that (in page 2 of [1]) "In a free-swimming situation, the upper sheet would move at a rate such that *the viscous drag would balance with f_x* ...". It means the statement "the geometry of the wave is the only factor determining the direction in which the relative position of the sheets evolve" is not complete or valid! The energy dissipation or viscous dissipation also plays an important role (otherwise the shape of the waveform (a) will be difficult to be preserved during the motions)! Note that in [5] "... the energy of the beat is not only used for propulsion, but also to overcome the sliding friction." is claimed. Similar claims could also be traced in [6] although it was not stated explicitly.

-
- [1] G.J. Elfring and E. Lauga, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 088101 (2009).
 - [2] G. Taylor, Proc. R. Soc. A **209**, 447 (1951).
 - [3] B. Chan, N.J. Balmforth and A.E. Hosoi, Phys. Fluids **17**, 113101 (2005).
 - [4] Y. Pomeau, M. Le Berre, P. Guyenne and S. Grilli, Nonlinearity **21**, T61 (2008).
 - [5] Y.z. Yang, J. Elgeti and G. Gompper, Phys. Rev. E **78**, 061903 (2008).
 - [6] A. Vilfan and F. Jülicher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 058102 (2006).